UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|----------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/532,808 | 04/26/2005 | Yoram Palti | P-5488-US | 8892 |
| 49443 7590 03/04/2010 Pearl Cohen Zedek Latzer, LLP 1500 Broadway | | | EXAMINER | |
| | | | SINGH, SATYENDRA K | |
| | 12th Floor New York, NY 10036 | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 1657 | |
| | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 03/04/2010 | PAPER |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | |
|---|--|---|--|--|
| | 10/532,808 | PALTI ET AL. | | |
| Notice of Abandonment | Examiner | Art Unit | | |
| | SATYENDRA K. SINGH | 1657 | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app | | l l | | |
| This application is abandoned in view of: | | | | |
| Applicant's failure to timely file a proper reply to the Office (a) ☐ A reply was received on (with a Certificate of N period for reply (including a total extension of time of, but it does not not to the period for reply was received on, but it does not not not not not not not not not not | failing or Transmission dated month(s)) which expired on | <u> </u> | | |
| (A proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 to a final rejection application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.113 to a final rejection | n consists only of: (1) a timely filed ar Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); o | nendment which places the | | |
| (c) A reply was received on but it does not constitutional rejection. See 37 CFR 1.85(a) and 1.111. (See | ite a proper reply, or a bona fide atte | mpt at a proper reply, to the non- | | |
| (d) 🛮 No reply has been received. | | | | |
| 2. Applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue fee and from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-8 (a) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, was), which is after the expiration of the statutory per Allowance (PTOL-85). | 5). received on (with a Certifica | ate of Mailing or Transmission dated | | |
| (b) ☐ The submitted fee of \$ is insufficient. A balance | of \$ is due | | | |
| The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$ 1 | | CFR 1.18(d). is \$ | | |
| (c) ☐ The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, has no | | (// - | | |
| 3. Applicant's failure to timely file corrected drawings as requ Allowability (PTO-37). | | | | |
| (a) Proposed corrected drawings were received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated), which is after the expiration of the period for reply. | | | | |
| (b) No corrected drawings have been received. | | | | |
| The letter of express abandonment which is signed by the the applicants. | e attorney or agent of record, the ass | ignee of the entire interest, or all of | | |
| 5. The letter of express abandonment which is signed by an 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application. | attorney or agent (acting in a repres | entative capacity under 37 CFR | | |
| 6. The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interference of the decision has expired and there are no allowed claim | | e the period for seeking court review | | |
| 7. ☑ The reason(s) below: | | | | |
| See Continuation Sheet | | | | |
| /JON P WEBER/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1657 | | | | |
| Petitions to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to withdra | w the holding of abandonment under 37 (| CFR 1.181, should be promptly filed to | | |

Item 7 - Other reasons for holding abandonment: The applicant's attorney of record, Mr. Morey B. Wildes (phone- 646-878-0800) was telephonically contacted on 26th Feb. 2010 by the Examiner to clarify the status of the instant application after Final Rejection. Mr. Wildes, later on the same day, called the Examiner and informed that applicants have not filed an official response to the final rejection mailed by the office.

/Satyendra K. Singh/ Examiner, AU 1657.